1   2	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT MAR   6 2017
3	a state harmonistic in contract of the later and contract of the later
4	BY DEPUTY
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) Case No. 17-MJ-581
11	) Plaintiff, ) ORDER OF REVOCATION/DETENTION
12	) IN BAIL REVOCATION/DETENTION v. ) PROCEEDINGS
13	Daniel James Aguilar (18 U.S.C. § 3148(b))
14	Defendant. )
15	Upon motion of the Government to detain defendant in connection
16	with bail revocation/detention proceedings pursuant to 18 U.S.C.
17	\$ 3148(b):
18	A. The Court finds:
19	( ) there is probable cause to believe that defendant has
20	committed a federal, state, or local crime while on
21	
22	release;
23	( there is clear and convincing evidence that defendant
24	has violated another condition of release;
25	B. The Court further finds:
26	( ) no condition or combination of conditions will
27	reasonably assure the appearance of defendant as
28	required;

1		( ) no condition or combination of conditions will
2		reasonably assure the safety of any other person and
3		the community;
4		( $\checkmark$ ) defendant is unlikely to abide by any condition or
5		combination of conditions of release.
6	С.	The Court has considered:
7		( $\checkmark$ the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged;
8		( ${\mathscr J}$ the weight of the evidence against defendant;
9		( $\checkmark$ the history and characteristics of defendant;
10		( I the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person
11		or the community that would be posed by defendant's
12		release.
13	D.	The Court concludes:
14		( ) Defendant poses a risk to the safety of other persons
15		and the community based on:
16		
17		
18		
19		( ) Defendant poses a serious flight risk based on:
20		
21		
22		
23		( ) Defendant is unlikely to abide by any condition or
24		combination of conditions of release based on:
25		history of noncompliance
26		
27		
28		

1	E. The Government ( ) is ( ) is not entitled to a rebuttable
2	presumption that no condition or combination of conditions
3	will assure that defendant will not pose a danger to the
4	safety of any person or the community.
5	F. The Court finds:
6	( ) Defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence to
7	the contrary the presumption provided in 18 U.S.C.
8	§ 3148(b) that no condition or combination of
9	conditions will assure the safety of any other person
10	or the community;
11	IT IS ORDERED that defendant is detained and remanded to the
12	custody of the U.S. Marshal.
13	If defendant is awaiting trial, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that
14	defendant be confined in a corrections facility separate, to the
15	extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or
16	persons held in custody pending appeal.
17	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant be afforded reasonable
18	opportunity for private consultation with defendant's counsel.
19	DATED: 3/16/17
20	HONORABLE JACQUELINE CHOOLJIAN United States Magistrate Judge
21	oniteda beates nægiserate saage
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	